Taking On the Social Determinants of Health

A Framework for Action

In order to improve health, we have to address poverty, poor nutrition, physical inactivity, and other big concerns. Here’s how to start.

By Thomas E. Kottke, M.D., M.S.P.H., and Nicolaas P. Pronk, Ph.D.

Health is both a personal good and a public good. Without health, it is difficult to achieve either emotional or financial well-being. Yet, the United States, which expends more resources per capita and spends a higher proportion of its gross domestic product on health care than any other country, ranks among the bottom of industrialized nations in many measures of good health.

Since British epidemiologist Sir Michael Marmot first studied British civil servants in the 1970s and found that people in high-status jobs tended to be healthier than those in low-status jobs, we have become increasingly aware of the fact that socioeconomic factors are powerful determinants of health. Marmot has since identified the 10 most important social determinants of health: low social status, relentless stress, adversity in early life, social exclusion, stress at work, unemployment, absence of social support, addiction, poor nutrition, and an environment that promotes physical inactivity (Table). Access to health care is not among the top 10.

Many have since pointed out that health care alone cannot counteract the effects of these factors and, thus, our nation’s large health care expenditures don’t promote health. The authors of the 2002 Institute of Medicine report “The Future of the Public’s Health in the 21st Century” observed that “social and environmental factors create unnecessary health risks for individuals and entire communities,” and because of the nature of these risks, “the nation’s heavy investment in the personal health care system is a limited future strategy for promoting health.”

Others have made similar observations. University of California San Francisco professor Steven Schroeder, M.D., recently asserted that “the pathways to better health do not generally depend on better health care.” Schroeder pointed out that although the United States spends more per capita and assigns a higher proportion of its gross domestic product to health care than any other industrialized nation, it ranks 25th among Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development countries in infant mortality, 22nd in maternal mortality, 23rd and 22nd in life expectancy for women and men, respectively, from birth, and 10th and 9th in life expectancy for women and men from age 65. Limiting the comparison to whites does little to change the rankings.

We recently developed a statistical model that shows the limited effect of cardiac care. The model shows that offering optimal, evidence-based treatment at the time of an acute cardiac event would only prevent or postpone 8% of all deaths among middle-aged Americans. By comparison, 47% of all deaths among this group could be prevented or postponed if everyone met dietary and physical activity guidelines and did not smoke.

Clearly, if we want to improve the health of individuals, we need to address the real determinants of their health. But how do we accomplish such an enormous task? Where do we begin? It’s a significant challenge, but there are strategies that will help us make headway.

Measure Health Determinants

It has been observed that measurement is a prerequisite for organizational action. Thus, we suggest the prerequisite for improving health in Minnesota is measuring the impact of various things that influence it. This would help us figure out where our greatest needs lie.

Our next-door neighbor, Wisconsin, has already begun such an undertaking. With the goal of encouraging everyone to work together on improving health in the state, the University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute developed a way to assess the health effects (premature mortality and self-reported health status) associated with various determinants (Figure). Through this assessment, they have learned that mortality, measured by years of potential life lost, varies more than 3-fold among Wisconsin counties and that the reasons for loss of life years differ among the counties. The institute issues briefs on topics emerging from the data. Recent briefs have addressed the health effects of a proposal to lower the legal drinking age to 18 and of increasing physical activity among children. Their hope is that the information will encourage leaders from all sectors to partner with public health departments and health care providers in order to take action on specific determinants. The institute recently received a grant from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation that extends the monitoring program throughout the United States.

Another way to measure the effect of various determinants on health is with the State of the USA (SUSA) metrics. State
The social gradient
People further down the social ladder usually run at least twice the risk of serious illness and premature death as those near the top.

Stress
Continuing anxiety, insecurity, low self-esteem, social isolation, and lack of control over work and home life have powerful effects on health.

Early life
Slow growth and poor emotional support raise the lifetime risk of poor physical health and reduce physical, cognitive, and emotional functioning in adulthood.

Social exclusion
The unemployed, many ethnic minority groups, guest workers, disabled people, refugees, and homeless people are at particular risk. Those living on the streets suffer the highest rates of premature death.

Work
Evidence shows that stress at work plays an important role in contributing to the large social status differences in health, sickness absence, and premature death.

Unemployment
Evidence from a number of countries shows that, even after allowing for other factors, unemployed people and their families suffer a substantially increased risk of premature death.

Social support
Belonging to a social network makes people feel cared for, loved, esteemed, and valued. This has a powerful protective effect on health.

Addiction
Drug use is both a response to social breakdown and an important factor in worsening the resulting inequalities in health. Smoking is a major drain on poor people’s incomes and a huge cause of ill health and premature death.

Food
A shortage of food and lack of variety cause malnutrition and deficiency diseases. Excess intake (also a form of malnutrition) contributes to cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, cancer, degenerative eye diseases, obesity, and dental caries.

Transport
Cycling, walking, and the use of public transport promote health in four ways. They provide exercise, reduce fatal accidents, increase social contact, and reduce air pollution.

are employed, the worksite is a logical place to do this. The following is an example of how it can be done.

In June of 2003, BAE Systems, a defense and aerospace firm with facilities in Minneapolis, and HealthPartners initiated a worksite health-promotion program called Setting our Sights on Fitness. The health and wellness initiative comprised 4 components: a multimedia communications campaign; participation incentives; access to health assessments and disease-management programs; and onsite health promotion activities. The goal of the program was to improve the overall health of BAE employees and their families in a cost-effective manner and, thus, curb the employer’s health care cost increases. The program provided information, self-help tools, and support for changing behavior such as increasing physical activity, ceasing smoking, and healthful eating.

To initiate the program, BAE established a wellness steering committee led by a dedicated program manager and hired an external consultant to engage in strategic planning. During health plan open enrollment in the fall of 2003, a new benefit design was introduced. Employees could choose a copay plan or a deductible/coinsurance plan and were offered 2 different benefit options within each type of plan—a richer option that offered a lower copay or deductible and a leaner one that required a higher copay or deductible. To be eligible for the richer benefit packages, BAE employees and their spouses needed to complete a health assessment prior to the end of the open enrollment period. If they had been diagnosed with a chronic condition or found to be at high risk for disease development, they would be required to complete at least 1 qualifying health-improvement activity that year in order to continue receiving the enhanced benefit package the following year.

The partnership between BAE Systems and HealthPartners was designed to align the interests of the employees and their spouses, the employer, and the health plan. All 3 benefited from the initiative. Documented outcomes included improved health of participants, medical cost savings, and increased productivity. With the exception of those participants who identified themselves as being overweight, statistically significant reductions in each measured risk factor (tobacco use, physical inactivity, not eating enough fruits and vegetables, skipping breakfast, eating foods that are high in sugar, and being overweight or obese) were achieved each year. BAE saw significant cost savings on medical care that resulted in a return on investment of 3:1 and a reduction in average medical claims of more than 3.3% a year during the first 3 years of the program. When changes in productivity were taken into account, the return on investment increased to about 6:1.

Conclusion
Health and well-being have been shown to be determined by physical, social, and behavioral factors that cannot be overcome simply by increasing access to health care. They must be dealt with directly. We have
proposed that the beginning point for addressing these factors is measurement. Specifically, we propose using the University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute’s method to assess and measure various determinants and the effect they have on health. This could identify opportunities to improve the health of the people in Minnesota. We also suggest that addressing determinants of health must engage a broad range of people—from individuals themselves to business leaders, health care providers, and payer institutions. Finally, we believe that the worksite is a good place to implement effective behavior change programs.

The recession of 2008 and our state’s projected budgetary shortfalls make 2009 a year of concern and opportunity. The concern is that Minnesotans will allow the programs that address preventive care and social and other determinants of health to be downsized, thereby jeopardizing the long-term health and the long-term economic competitiveness of the state. The opportunity is to acknowledge that the roots of health and our health care funding crisis lie to a great extent outside the current acute care system. Targeted programs to address social and other determinants of health can reduce the need for health care, thereby reducing health care costs. By using newly developed assessment tools and getting everyone engaged in the process, Minnesota can regain its reputation as a national leader in health and prosper economically.
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